

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,748	0	7/31/2003	Takeshi Tsubouchi	033528-006	033528-006 9932	
21839	7590	02/23/2006		EXAMINER		
BUCHANA			MENDOZA, MICHAEL G			
(INCLUDING BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS) POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3731		

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief Application No. 10/630,748 TSUBOUCHI, TAKESHI Examiner Michael G. Mendoza 3731

Doroto and thing of an tipped.	Examiner	AILOIIIL	
	Michael G. Mendoza	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>31 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exampler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>		•	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		IE below);	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL_324)
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFK 1.1Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Amendment	(1 102-02-7).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 12-21.			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-9,11 and 22</u> .	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	date of filing a brief.	will not be
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered be <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	NO(S).	
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.		AIL	
	GL	ENN K. DAWSON	
	PRI	MARY EXAMINER	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicant's argument that invention is used for conducting blood, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. The limitation of "wherein said conduit defines a conduit for conduction blood between a patient and a ventricular assit device" does not result in a structural limitation. The prior art to Recker discloses the claimed structural limitations of a conduit including a first curved conduit; a second curved condiut; a first coupling; a second coupling; and wherein the first and second conduit are rigid.

Continuation of 13. Other: The 103(a) rejection of claim 3 was misnumbered. The rejection is supposed to be applied to claim 4.